arXiv:1612.02301v1 [math.AP] 7 Dec 2016

THE TIME DERIVATIVE IN A SINGULAR PARABOLIC EQUATION

Peter Lindqvist

Department of Mathematical Sciences
Norwegian University of Science and Technology
NO–7491 Trondheim, Norway

Dedicated to Olli Martio on his seventy-fifth birthday

ABSTRACT:[1] We study the Evolutionary p-Laplace Equation in the singular case $1 < p < 2$. We prove that a weak solution has a time derivative (in Sobolev's sense) which is a function belonging (locally) to a L^q-space.

1 Introduction

The regularity theory for parabolic partial differential equations of the type

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \;=\; \operatorname{div} \mathbf{A}(x,t,u,\nabla u)$$

aims at establishing boundedness and continuity of the solution $u = u(x,t)$ and its gradient

$$\nabla u = \Big(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_n}\Big).$$

The celebrated methods of DeGiorgi, Nash, and Moser do not directly treat the time derivative u_t, which is regarded as merely a distribution. Yet, for many specific equations the time derivative is more than that, it is a function

[1] AMS classification 35K67, 35K92, 35B45

in Lebesgue's theory. We shall prove that the solutions of the *Evolutionary p-Laplace Equation*

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \;=\; \operatorname{div}\big(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\big) \tag{1}$$

have a first order time derivative u_t in Sobolev's sense. Thus the time derivative exists as a measurable function satisfying the definition

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon \nabla\phi \, dxdt \;=\; -\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega u_t\phi \, dxdt$$

for all test functions $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$. Here $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0,T)$ and Ω is a domain in the n-dimensional space $\mathbb{R}^n$. The so-called degenerate case $p \geq 2$ (or slow diffusion case) was treated in [L1] and [L2] and now we shall focus our attention on the so-called singular case (or fast diffusion case) $1 < p < 2$, which is much more demanding, because the operator

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) \;=\; |\nabla u|^{p-2}\Delta u + (p-2)|\nabla u|^{p-4}\sum_{i,j}^{n}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

is undefined at the critical points $\nabla u = 0$ when $p < 2$. (It is known that the second derivatives $u_{x_ix_j}$ exist in the singular case, but the negative power $p-2$ spoils the formula.) — We refer to the books [DB], [WYZ] about the Evolutionary p-Laplace Equation Equation.

Our method is to differentiate the regularized equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} \;=\; \operatorname{div}\Big(\big\{|\nabla u|^2 + \varepsilon^2\big\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u\Big) \tag{2}$$

with respect to the x-variables and then to derive careful estimates which are passed over to the limit as $\varepsilon \to 0$. The appearing identities are, of course, not new. The main formula to start from has been used for other purposes in [Y] and [WZY]. The case $p \geq \frac{3}{2}$ can be extracted from [Y]. See also [AMS] for systems. Unfortunately, there is an extra complication when p is small; in our proofs it appears in the range $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$. To wit, the natural definition that weak solutions belong only to

$$u \in C\big(0,T;L^2(\Omega)\big) \,\cap\, L^p\big(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega)\big)$$

is problematic, because it allows for *un*bounded solutions, when $1 < p < \frac{2n}{n+2}$. See [DB] and [DH] for this striking phenomenon. Various attempts to deal

with this situation are suggested in [BIV], where it is even proposed to assume that u_t and $\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ both belong to the space L^1. (Lemma III.3.6 in [DH] states that $u_t \in L^1_{loc}$ under the condition that the Bénilan-Crandall estimate

$$u_t \le \frac{1}{2-p}\,\frac{u}{t},$$

is valid, which requires some restrictions.) The common definition is to add the condition

$$u \in L^r(\Omega_T), \qquad \text{where} \quad p(n+r) > 2n$$

for some exponent r in this range. This extra assumption has the effect that the weak solutions become locally bounded. See [DH], in particular III.6 and III.7 for further information about this sharp condition.

We shall directly assume that $\|u\|_\infty < \infty$, if $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$. However, in the one-dimensional case ($n = 1$) we have, without any extra hypothesis, a short proof that the time derivative is square summable.[2]

Theorem 1 *Suppose that u is a weak solution of the equation*

$$u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$$

in the domain Ω_T. In the case $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$, $n \ge 2$, we make the extra *assumption that u is bounded. Then the time derivative u_t exists in Sobolev's sense and $u_t \in L^\theta_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ for some $\theta > 1$.*

If $p \ge \frac{3}{2}$ or $n = 1$, we can take $\theta = 2$.

If $1 < p < \frac{3}{2}$ and $n \ge 2$, we have the restriction $1 < \theta < \frac{1}{2-p}$.

A quantitative proof is the object of this work. It is noteworthy that the proper regularity theory is not invoked.

2 Preliminaries

We use standard notation. See [DB] about time dependent Sobolev Spaces. Suppose that Ω is a bounded domain in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and consider the space×time cylinder $\Omega_T = \Omega \times (0, T)$. We shall always keep $1 < p \le 2$, although many

[2]Neither is any extra condition needed for $n = 2$, since $p(\mathbf{2} + r) > 2 \cdot \mathbf{2}$, if $r = 2$.

formulas are valid also for $p > 2$. Denote $|\mathbb{D}^2 u|^2 = \sum u_{x_i x_j}^2$. Once and for all, we fix a test function $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega),\ 0 \le \zeta \le 1$. In the sequel, the constants in the estimates can depend on $\|\zeta_t\|_\infty$ and $\|\nabla\zeta\|_\infty$.

Definition 2 *Assume that $u \in C\big(0,T;L^2(\Omega)\big) \cap L^p\big(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega)\big)$. We say that u is a weak solution of the equation $u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ in Ω_T if*

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega u\,\phi_t\,dxdt \;=\; \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u,\nabla\phi\rangle\,dxdt \quad \textit{when} \quad \phi \in C_0(\Omega_T).$$

Especially, $u \in L^2(\Omega_T)$ by the assumption. The weak solutions for the regularized equation (2) are defined in a similar way, see (4). According to Theorem 4.2 on page 599 in [LSU] they have continuous second derivatives in all variables. We shall use the notation u_ε for the solution of the regularized equation with boundary values u on the parabolic boundary of Ω_T. The boundary values are taken in the following sense:

$$\bullet\ u_\varepsilon - u \in L^p\big(0,T;W_0^{1,p}(\Omega)\big) \quad \text{and} \quad \bullet\ \lim_{\delta\to 0}\frac{1}{\delta}\int_0^\delta\!\!\int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon - u|^2\,dxdt \;=\; 0.$$

3 The Time Derivative

Our proof depends on the applicability of the rule

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega u\,\phi_t\,dxdt \;=\; -\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \phi\,\nabla\cdot\big(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\big)\,dxdt, \tag{3}$$

when $\phi \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$. Thus the theorem follows, if we can prove that the derivatives $\partial/\partial x_j(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ in the formula exist and belong to $L^2_{loc}(\Omega_T)$. Indeed, that we can do for $p > \frac{3}{2}$. Yet, for smaller values of p, the negative exponent $p-2$ forces us to circumvent this expression, which is problematic when $\nabla u = 0$. We use the regularized equation

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon\,\phi_t\,dxdt \;=\; -\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \phi\,\nabla\cdot\Big(\big\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\big\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u_\varepsilon\Big)dxdt \tag{4}$$

and prove that, as $\varepsilon \to 0$, the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\Big(\big\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\big\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k}\Big)$$

converge weakly in $L^\theta_{loc}(\Omega_T)$ with some $\theta > 1$. Since u_ε converges to u locally in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ by Proposition 4, the Theorem follows from the compactness result below, when we take into account that

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\big\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\big\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_k}\right| \le 2\big\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\big\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|$$

Assume that

$$u \in C\big(0,T;L^2(\Omega)\big)\cap L^p\big(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega)\big)$$

is a weak solution to $u_t = \operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u)$ in Ω_T. Let u_ε denote the solution of the regularized equation

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}\Big(\big\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\big\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u_\varepsilon\Big)$$

with the same boundary values as u on the parabolic boundary of Ω_T.

Lemma 3 *We have uniformly with respect to ε:*

- $\mathbf{p \ge \frac{3}{2}}$.

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta^2\big\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\big\}^{2(p-2)}|\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 dxdt \le L < \infty, \qquad \varepsilon \le 1. \qquad (\star)$$

- $\mathbf{1 < p < \frac{3}{2}}$. *Under the extra assumption that $\|u\|_\infty < \infty$, the quantity*

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta^2\big\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\big\}^{\theta(p-2)}|\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 dxdt \le L(\theta) < \infty, \qquad \varepsilon \le 1.$$

  is uniformly bounded in ε when

$$1 < \theta < \frac{1}{2-p}.$$

- $\mathbf{n = 1}$. *In the one-dimensional case ($\star$) holds for all $p > 1$.*

Proof: The second case is Proposition 7 and the two other cases are in Section 5. Formally, ($\star$) is equation (2.16) in [Y]. $\square$

4 Convergence of the Approximation

In this section we shun the extra assumption about the boundedness of the weak solution u. This effort complicates the convergence proof for the $u_\varepsilon^{'}$s. Recall the equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} &= \operatorname{div}\left(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\right) \\ \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} &= \operatorname{div}\Big(\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u_\varepsilon\Big) \end{cases}$$

where $u = u_\varepsilon$ on the parabolic boundary of Ω_T.

Proposition 4 *Under the assumption*

$$u \in C(0,T;L^2(\Omega)) \cap L^p(0,T;W^{1,p}(\Omega))$$

the convergence

$$u_\varepsilon \to u \quad in \quad L^2(\Omega_T), \qquad \nabla u_\varepsilon \to \nabla u \quad in \quad L^p(\Omega_T)$$

is valid.

Proof: Using the test function $\phi = u_\varepsilon - u$ in both equations we get

$$\begin{aligned} W_\varepsilon \quad &\equiv \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \langle \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u_\varepsilon - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u, \nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u\rangle \, dxdt \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega (u_\varepsilon(x,T)-u(x,T))^2\,dx \;\le 0. \end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

Strictly speaking, in the equation for u we must go via a time regularization; the Steklov average works well and the final inequality $W_\varepsilon \le 0$ follows. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} J_\varepsilon \;&\equiv \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2\,dxdt \\ &\le -\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p\,dxdt + \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u,\nabla u_\varepsilon\rangle\,dxdt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\langle\nabla u_\varepsilon,\nabla u\rangle\,dxdt. \end{aligned}$$

By Young's inequality the last integrand satisfies

$$\Big|\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\langle\nabla u_\varepsilon,\nabla u\rangle\Big| \le \frac{1}{p}|\nabla u|^p+\frac{1}{q}\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2,$$

where $q=p/(p-1)$. In the same way

$$\big|\nabla u|^{p-2}\langle\nabla u,\nabla u_\varepsilon\rangle\big| \le \frac{\sigma^p}{p}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p+\frac{1}{\sigma^q q}|\nabla u|^p, \qquad \sigma>0.$$

Upon integration and absorption of a term, we arrive at

$$J_\varepsilon \le (p-1)(\sigma^{-q}-1)\iint_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p\,dxdt+\sigma^p\iint_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p\,dxdt. \tag{6}$$

In order to handle the last integral, we divide the domain of integration into two parts: the set $|\nabla u_\varepsilon|\le\varepsilon$ and the set $|\nabla u_\varepsilon|\ge\varepsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\iint_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p\,dxdt &\le \varepsilon^p\mathrm{mes}(\Omega_T)+\iint_{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|\ge\varepsilon}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2}dxdt\\ &\le \varepsilon^p\mathrm{mes}(\Omega_T)+2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}\iint_{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|\ge\varepsilon}\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2+\varepsilon^2\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2\,dxdt\\ &\le \varepsilon^p\mathrm{mes}(\Omega_T)+2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}J_\varepsilon.\end{aligned}$$

We insert this in equation (6) and obtain

$$J_\varepsilon \le (p-1)(\sigma^{-q}-1)\iint_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p\,dxdt+\sigma^p\varepsilon^p\mathrm{mes}(\Omega_T)+\sigma^p 2^{\frac{2-p}{2}}J_\varepsilon.$$

We fix $\sigma>0$ equal to a number, depending only on p, so small that the last J_ε-term can be absorbed into the left-hand side. It follows that

$$J_\varepsilon \le C_p\Big\{\iint_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p\,dxdt+\varepsilon^p\mathrm{mes}(\Omega_T)\Big\},$$

$$\iint_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p\,dxdt \le 3C_p\Big\{\iint_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u|^p\,dxdt+\varepsilon^p\mathrm{mes}(\Omega_T)\Big\}.$$

In particular, we have a uniform bound:

$$\boxed{\int_0^T \iint_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p \, dxdt \le K, \qquad 0 \le \varepsilon \le 1.} \tag{7}$$

Now we split W_ε as

$$\begin{aligned} W_\varepsilon &= \int_0^T \iint_\Omega \langle |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\nabla u_\varepsilon - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u, \nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u\rangle \, dxdt \\ &\quad + \int_0^T \iint_\Omega \langle \left\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\right\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u, \nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u\rangle \, dxdt, \end{aligned}$$

and since $W_\varepsilon \le 0$ by (5),

$$\begin{aligned} M_\varepsilon &\equiv \int_0^T \iint_\Omega \langle |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\nabla u_\varepsilon - |\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u, \nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u\rangle \, dxdt \\ &\le \int_0^T \iint_\Omega \langle |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-2}\nabla u_\varepsilon - \left\{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\right\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u\rangle \, dxdt \equiv O_\varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We claim that $O_\varepsilon \to 0$ as $\varepsilon \to 0$. Recall that $1 < p \le 2$. Thus the inequality[3]

$$0 \le |a|^{p-2} - \left(|a|^2 + \varepsilon^2\right)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} < \frac{2-p}{2}\varepsilon^2 |a|^{p-2}\delta^{-2} \qquad |a| \ge \delta,$$

[3]

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \le |a|^{p-2} - (|a|^2 + \varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} &= -\int_0^1 \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d}t}(|a|^2 + t\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \, dt \\ = \frac{2-p}{2}\varepsilon^2 \int_0^1 (|a|^2 + t\varepsilon^2)^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \, dt &\le \frac{2-p}{2}\varepsilon^2 |a|^{p-4}, \quad a \ne 0. \end{aligned}$$

is available. Now we split the domain of integration for O_ε into two parts and achieve

$$|O_\varepsilon| \le \frac{2-p}{2}\varepsilon^2\delta^{-2}\iint\limits_{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|\ge\delta}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-1}|\nabla u_\varepsilon-\nabla u|\,dxdt$$
$$+2\iint\limits_{|\nabla u_\varepsilon|\le\delta}|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-1}|\nabla u_\varepsilon-\nabla u|\,dxdt.$$

By Hölder's inequality

$$\iint|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^{p-1}|\nabla u_\varepsilon-\nabla u|\,dxdt \le \Big(\iint|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p\,dxdt\Big)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\Big\{\|\nabla u_\varepsilon\|_p+\|\nabla u\|_p\Big\}.$$

Recalling the uniform bound (7), we see that

$$|O_\varepsilon| \le \tfrac{2-p}{2}\varepsilon^2\delta^{-2}K^{1-\frac{1}{p}}\Big(K^{\frac{1}{p}}+\|\nabla u\|_p\Big)+2\delta^{p-1}\Big(K^{\frac{1}{p}}+\|\nabla u\|_p\Big).$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to0}O_\varepsilon \;=\; 0.$$

The inequality[4]

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega\frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon-\nabla u|^2\,dxdt}{\big(1+|\nabla u|^2+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2\big)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}} \;\le\; M_\varepsilon \;\le\; O_\varepsilon \tag{8}$$

shows in combination with

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega|\nabla u_\varepsilon-\nabla u|^p\,dxdt=\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega|\nabla u_\varepsilon-\nabla u|^p\left\{\frac{1+|\nabla u|^2+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}{1+|\nabla u|^2+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2}\right\}^{\frac{p(2-p)}{4}}dxdt$$
$$\le\left\{\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega\frac{|\nabla u_\varepsilon-\nabla u|^2\,dxdt}{\big(1+|\nabla u|^2+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2\big)^{\frac{2-p}{2}}}\right\}^{\frac{p}{2}}\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega\big(1+|\nabla u|^2+|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2\big)^{\frac{p}{2}}\,dxdt$$

[4]For vectors

$$\langle|b|^{p-2}b-|a|^{p-2}a,b-a\rangle \;\ge\; (p-1)|b-a|^2\big(1+|a|^2+|b|^2\big)^{\frac{p-2}{2}},\quad 1<p\le2.$$

and the uniform bound (7) that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0}\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon - \nabla u|^p\, dxdt = 0. \tag{9}$$

The convergence $u_\varepsilon \to u$ in $L^2(\Omega_T)$ can be extracted from the above proof, according to which

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_\Omega \big(u_\varepsilon(x,T) - u(x,T)\big)^2\, dx = -W_\varepsilon = O_\varepsilon - M_\varepsilon \le O_\varepsilon \to 0.$$

When we replace T by $t,\ 0 < t < T$, the same bound as before will majorize O_ε *simultaneously* for all t. Integrating with respect to t, we obtain

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \big(u_\varepsilon(x,t) - u(x,t)\big)^2\, dxdt \ \le\ 2TO_\varepsilon.$$

This concludes the convergence proof. $\square$

5 The Main Identity

In order to derive estimates for the derivatives

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}\big(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u\big)$$

we differentate the *regularized* equation

$$\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial t} = \operatorname{div}\Big(\big(|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2\big)^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u_\varepsilon\Big).$$

Using the abbreviations

$$u_{\varepsilon,j} = \frac{\partial}{\partial_{x_j}}u_\varepsilon, \quad v_\varepsilon = |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2, \quad V_\varepsilon = |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 + \varepsilon^2 = v_\varepsilon^2 + \varepsilon^2$$

we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}u_{\varepsilon,j} = \operatorname{div}\left(V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} + \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}\frac{\partial}{\partial_{x_j}}V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\right). \tag{10}$$

We note

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial_{x_j}} v_\varepsilon = 2\langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle, \qquad |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 \le 4 |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial_{x_j}} V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}} = (p-2) V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle.$$

In weak form the equation becomes

$$-\int_0^T \int_\Omega \phi_j \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon,j}}{\partial t}\, dxdt \tag{11}$$

$$= \int_0^T \int_\Omega \left(V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}} \langle \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}, \nabla \phi_j \rangle + (p-2) V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-4}{2}} \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j} \rangle \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla \phi_j \rangle \right) dxdt,$$

valid at least for all test functions $\phi_j \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T),\ j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. (In fact, it is not needed that $\phi_j = 0$ when $t = 0$ or $t = T$.) We use the test functions

$$\phi_j = \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^\alpha u_{\varepsilon,j}, \qquad \zeta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega_T)$$

and sum the formulas to reach the identity below. (Such identities often serve to derive Caccioppoli inequalities.) We shall keep $1 - p < 2\alpha < 0$. Always, $0 \le \zeta \le 1$.

Fundamental formula

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 \, dxdt \qquad \text{Main Term} \tag{I}$$

$$+ \frac{p-2+2\alpha}{4} \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}-1} |\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 \, dxdt \tag{II}$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha(p-2)}{2} \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}-2} \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla v_\varepsilon \rangle^2 \, dxdt \tag{III}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2(\alpha+1)} \Big[\int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1} \, dx \Big]_0^T \tag{IV}$$

$$= (2-p) \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}-1} \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla v_\varepsilon \rangle \langle \nabla \zeta, \nabla u_\varepsilon \rangle \, dxdt \tag{V}$$

$$- \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}} \langle \nabla \zeta, \nabla u_\varepsilon \rangle \, dxdt \tag{VI}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\alpha+1} \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1} \zeta \zeta_t \, dxdt \tag{VII}$$

The proof is a straightforward calculation. (Compare with formula (2.5) in [Y] and formula (2.20) on page 166 in [WZY].) We only mention how to treat the part with the time derivative:

$$\phi_j \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_{\varepsilon,j} = \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big(\frac{u_{\varepsilon,j}^2}{2} \Big)$$
$$\zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big(\frac{v_\varepsilon}{2} \Big) = \frac{1}{2} \zeta^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \Big(\frac{V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \Big).$$

Thus, upon summation, the left-hand side of (11) becomes

$$- \sum_{j=1}^n \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \phi_j \frac{\partial u_{\varepsilon,j}}{\partial t} \, dxdt = \frac{1}{2} \Big[\int_\Omega \zeta^2 \frac{V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \, dx \Big]_0^T - \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta \zeta_t \frac{V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1}}{\alpha+1} \, dxdt.$$

The right-hand side yields six terms, since the right-hand side of (10) is multiplied by

$$\nabla\phi_j = \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^\alpha \nabla u_{\varepsilon.j} + \alpha\zeta^2 v_\varepsilon^{\alpha-1} u_{\varepsilon,j}\nabla V_\varepsilon + 2V_\varepsilon^\alpha u_{\varepsilon,j}\zeta\nabla\zeta;$$

two similar terms are joined in term $\mathbb{II}$.

Always, $0 > 2\alpha > 1-p$ and $1 < p \le 2$, which means that *the factor in front of term* $\mathbb{II}$ *is negative*. The integral itself is of the same magnitude as term I, and

$$|\nabla v_\varepsilon|^2 \le 4v_\varepsilon|\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 \le 4V_\varepsilon|\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2. \tag{12}$$

This causes the *constraint*: $p-1+2\alpha > 0$. Term $\mathbb{III}$ is positive, but since the expression

$$\langle\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla v_\varepsilon\rangle^2 = 4\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i}\frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_j}\frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

may vanish, it is of little use, *except in the one dimensional case* when term $\mathbb{III}$ matches term I.

Estimation of some terms

Vanishing of term $\mathbb{IV}$ It is zero, as ζ has compact support also in the time direction.

Absorption of term V We can use Young's inequality to absorb term V into the main term I. Now by (12)

$$|\langle\nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla v_\varepsilon\rangle\langle\nabla\zeta, \nabla u_\varepsilon\rangle| \le |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2 |\nabla\zeta|\, 2\, V_\varepsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} |\mathbb{D}u_\varepsilon|$$

and with a small parameter $\sigma > 0$

$$|\mathrm{V}| \le (2-p)\sigma\mathrm{I} + (2-p)\sigma^{-1}\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}} |\nabla\zeta|^2\, dxdt. \tag{13}$$

Term VI Since $|\langle\nabla\zeta, \nabla v_\varepsilon\rangle| \le 2|\nabla\zeta||\nabla u_\varepsilon||\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|$, we get the same as above:

$$|\mathrm{VI}| \le \sigma\mathrm{I} + \sigma^{-1}\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}} |\nabla\zeta|^2\, dxdt. \tag{14}$$

With these arrangements the main formula yields the estimate

$$(1-(3-p)\sigma)\mathrm{I} + \mathrm{II} + \mathrm{III} \tag{15}$$
$$\le (3-p)\sigma^{-1}\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}}|\nabla\zeta|^2\,dxdt + \mathrm{VII}$$

The one-dimensional case

In one space dimension we have

$$u'_\varepsilon = \frac{\partial u_\varepsilon}{\partial x}, \quad u''_\varepsilon = \frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial x^2} \quad v_\varepsilon = u'^2_\varepsilon, \quad v'_\varepsilon = 2u'_\varepsilon u''_\varepsilon.$$

We fix $2\alpha = p-2$, which is negative. Then the sum $\mathrm{I}+\mathrm{II}+\mathrm{III}$ can be written as

$$\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{p-2}\Big|\frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial x^2}\Big|^2\left\{1+2(p-1)u'^2_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^{-1}+(p-2)^2u'^4_\varepsilon v_\varepsilon^{-2}\right\}dxdt.$$

The expression in braces is a perfect square and can be estimated as

$$\{1+\ldots v_\varepsilon^{-2}\} = \left(\frac{(p-1)u'^2_\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2}{u'^2_\varepsilon+\varepsilon^2}\right)^2 \ge (p-1)^2.$$

Thus the total estimate in one dimension reads

$$\big((p-1)^2-(3-p)\sigma\big)\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{p-2}\Big|\frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial x^2}\Big|^2 dxdt$$
$$\le (3-p)\sigma^{-1}\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{p-1}|\nabla\zeta|^2\,dxdt + \frac{2}{p}\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}}|\zeta\zeta_t|\,dxdt$$

Now we only have to fix σ small enough, noticing that

$$V_\varepsilon^{p-1} \le u_\varepsilon^p + 1, \qquad V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} \le 2(u_\varepsilon^p+\varepsilon^p),$$

to obtain the majorant

$$\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{p-2}\Big|\frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial x^2}\Big|^2 dxdt \le C(p)\Big\{\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p\,dxdt+1\Big\}. \tag{16}$$

The majorant is finite and, by (7) independent of ε, but the constant factor $C(p)$ depends also on $\|\zeta_t\|_\infty$.

To proceed, use

$$\left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left\{u_\varepsilon'^2+\varepsilon^2\right\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}u_\varepsilon'\right| \le (p-1)V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2}{2}}\left|\frac{\partial^2 u_\varepsilon}{\partial x^2}\right|^2$$

to conclude that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left\{u_\varepsilon'^2+\varepsilon^2\right\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}u_\varepsilon' \quad \text{converges weakly in} \quad L^2_{loc}(\Omega_T)$$

at least through a subsequence. Thus we may pass to the limit under the integral signs in

$$-\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon\frac{\partial\phi}{\partial t}\,dxdt = \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \phi\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\Big(\left\{u_\varepsilon'^2+\varepsilon^2\right\}^{\frac{p-2}{2}}u_\varepsilon'\Big)dxdt$$

and conclude that *the time derivative u_t exists and belongs locally to L^2*. The limit is some function.

General Estimate, $1 < p < 2$

In several space dimensions term $\mathbb{III}$ is no longer so useful, so one may as well skip it since it is positive when $\alpha < 0$. However, it is convenient to use it to counterbalance a portion of term V:

$$|\mathrm{V}| \le \mathbb{III} + \frac{2-p}{|\alpha|}\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}}|\nabla\zeta|^2\,dxdt,$$

where Young's inequality was used. Now we have the general estimate

Lemma 5 ($1 < p < 2$.) *Let $\sigma > 0$. We have*

$$\begin{aligned}&\big(p-1+2\alpha-\sigma\big)\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p-2+2\alpha}{2}}|\mathbb{D}^2u_\varepsilon|^2\,dxdt \qquad (17)\\ &\le \Big(\sigma^{-1}+\frac{2-p}{|\alpha|}\Big)\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}}|\nabla\zeta|^2\,dxdt + \frac{1}{\alpha+1}\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1}\zeta\zeta_t\,dxdt.\end{aligned}$$

This is worthless if one does not obey the

$$\boxed{\text{restriction:} \qquad p - 1 + 2\alpha \,>\, 0}$$

6 The case $\frac{3}{2} < p < 2$

Again, we take $2\alpha = p - 2$. Then by (17)

$$\begin{aligned}\big(2p - 3 - \sigma\big) \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{p-2} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 \, dxdt \\ \leq \big(\sigma^{-1} + 2\big) \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{p-1} |\nabla\zeta|^2 \, dxdt + \frac{1}{p-1} \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p}{2}} \zeta\zeta_t \, dxdt,\end{aligned}$$

Provided that $2p > 3$, this yields the desired local bound with a majorant free of ε according to (7).

7 An "Energy Term" with $p < \frac{3}{2}$

In the demanding case $p < \frac{3}{2}$ we need to estimate the last integral in (17). In this case, *we assume that the solution is bounded*: $\|u\|_\infty < \infty$. Obviously

$$V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1} = V_\varepsilon^\alpha \big(\varepsilon^2 + |\nabla u_\varepsilon|^2\big) \leq \varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)} + V_\varepsilon^\alpha \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon \rangle,$$

since $\alpha < 0$. If $\zeta \in C_0^\infty(\Omega)$, then[5]

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta\zeta_t V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1} \, dxdt \leq \varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)} \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\zeta\zeta_t| \, dxdt + \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta\zeta_t V_\varepsilon^\alpha \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon \rangle \, dxdt.$$

[5]It is essential that this holds also when $\zeta\zeta_t < 0$.

Integration by parts yields

$$\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta\zeta_t V_\varepsilon^\alpha \langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_\varepsilon\rangle \, dxdt = -\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega u_\varepsilon \nabla\cdot\big(\zeta\zeta_t V_\varepsilon^\alpha \nabla u_\varepsilon\big) dxdt$$

$$= -\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \big(u_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon^\alpha \langle \nabla(\zeta\zeta_t), \nabla u_\varepsilon\rangle - 2\alpha\zeta\zeta_t u_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon^{\alpha-1}\langle \nabla u_\varepsilon, \nabla u_{\varepsilon,j}\rangle - u_\varepsilon\zeta\zeta_t V_\varepsilon^\alpha \Delta u_\varepsilon\big) dxdt$$

$$\le \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |u_\varepsilon V_\varepsilon^\alpha \nabla(\zeta\zeta_t)||\nabla u_\varepsilon| \, dxdt + (1+2|\alpha|)\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\zeta\zeta_t u_\varepsilon| V_\varepsilon^\alpha |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon| \, dxdt.$$

The last integral can now be absorbed into the main term in (17). To see this, factorize

$$\zeta\zeta_t V_\varepsilon^\alpha = \zeta V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha-2}{4}} \cdot \zeta_t V_\varepsilon^{\frac{2-p+2\alpha}{4}}$$

and select a small $\kappa > 0$ for Young's inequality $2ab \le \kappa a^2 + \kappa^{-1} b^2$. We arrive at the final bound below, after some arrangements.

Lemma 6 (Energy Estimate) *We have*

$$\overbrace{\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta\zeta_t V_\varepsilon^{\alpha+1} dxdt}^{(\alpha+1)\times \textit{Term VII}} \le \varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)}\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\zeta\zeta_t| dxdt + 2\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty \int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega |\nabla(\zeta\zeta_t)| V_\varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2}} dxdt$$

$$\frac{1+2|\alpha|}{2}\Big\{\underbrace{\kappa\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha-2}{2}} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 \, dxdt}_{\textit{Term I}} + \kappa^{-1}\int_0^T\!\!\int_\Omega \zeta_t^2 V_\varepsilon^{\frac{2-p+2\alpha}{2}} \, dxdt\Big\}\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty$$

8 The Case $p < \frac{3}{2}$

We combine the estimate in Lemma 6 with the general inequality (17) writing

$$p - 2 + 2\alpha = \theta(p-2), \quad 2\alpha = (\theta-1)(p-2) > 1 - p$$

We must obey the restriction $p-1+2\alpha>0$, which means that

$$\boxed{1<\theta<\frac{1}{2-p}}$$

We obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\Big(1+\theta(p-2)-\sigma-\frac{2\kappa\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty}{2-p}\Big)\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\theta(p-2)}|\mathbb{D}^2u_\varepsilon|^2\,dxdt\\ \le\frac{\varepsilon^{2(\alpha+1)}}{\alpha+1}\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega|\zeta\zeta_t|\,dxdt+\frac{2\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty}{\alpha+1}\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega|\nabla(\zeta\zeta_t)|V_\varepsilon^{\frac{2\alpha+1}{2}}dxdt\\ +\frac{2\kappa^{-1}\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty}{2-p}\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega\zeta_t^2V_\varepsilon^{\frac{2-p+2\alpha}{2}}dxdt\\ +\Big(\sigma^{-1}+\frac{2-p}{|\alpha|}\Big)\int_0^T\!\!\iint_\Omega V_\varepsilon^{\frac{p+2\alpha}{2}}|\nabla\zeta|^2\,dxdt,\end{aligned}$$

where simplifying estimations like $\frac{1+2|\alpha|}{2(\alpha+1)}\le\frac{2}{2-p}$ have been made. Notice that $\alpha+1>0$. Now the powers of V_ε are decisive; they must be positive and no greater than $p/2$. Our permanent restriction $0>2\alpha>1-p$ leads to

$$0<\tfrac{2\alpha+1}{2}<\tfrac{p}{2},\quad \tfrac{1}{2}<\tfrac{p+2\alpha}{2}<\tfrac{p}{2},\quad \tfrac{3-2p}{2}<\tfrac{2-p+2\alpha}{2}<\tfrac{p}{2},$$

but now we need $p<\frac{3}{2}$ in order to assure

$$\frac{2-p+2\alpha}{2}>0.$$

We see that the exponents are in the right range, and for the last three integrals we can use

$$V_\varepsilon^\beta\le|\nabla u_\varepsilon|^p+1\quad\text{for}\quad 0<\beta<\tfrac{p}{2},\quad 0<\varepsilon\le1$$

and then the uniform bound (7). By the Maximum Principle $\|u_\varepsilon\|_\infty\le\|u\|_\infty$ (equality holds). Hence we have the following result:

Proposition 7 *Let $1 < p < \frac{3}{3}$. Fix θ in the range $1 < \theta < \frac{1}{2-p}$. Then the integral*

$$\boxed{\int_0^T \int_\Omega \zeta^2 V_\varepsilon^{\theta(p-2)} |\mathbb{D}^2 u_\varepsilon|^2 \, dxdt \;\le\; L(\theta), \quad 0 < \varepsilon \le 1,}$$

is uniformly bounded in ε. The bound $L(\theta)$ depends also on p, $\|u\|_\infty$, $\|\nabla\zeta\|_\infty$, $\|\zeta_t\|_\infty$, and the constant K in (7).

References

[AMS] E. Acerbi, G. Mingione, G. Seregin, *Regularity results for parabolic systems related to a class of non-Newtonian fluids*. Annales de l'Institut Henri Poincaré - Analyse Non Linéaire **21**, 2004, pp. 25–60.

[BIV] M. Bonforte, R. Iagar, J-L. Vázquez, *Local smoothing effects, positivity, and Harnack inequalities for the fast p-Laplacian equation*, Advances in Mathematics **224**, 2010, pp.2151–2215.

[C] H. Choe, *A regularity theory for a more general class of quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations and variational inequalities*, Differential Integral Equations **5**, 1992, pp. 915–944.

[DB] E. DiBenedetto, *Degenerate Parabolic Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York 1993.

[DH] E. DiBenedetto, M. Herrero, *Non-negative solutions of the evolution p-Laplacian equation. Initial traces and Cauchy problem when* $1 < p < 2$, Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis **111**, 1990, pp. 225–290.

[DGV] E. DiBenedetto, U. Gianazza, V. Vespri, *Harnack's Inequality for Degenerate and Singular Parabolic Equations*, Springer, New York 2012.

[LSU] O. Ladyzhenskaya, V. Solonnikov, N. Uraltseva, *Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type*, Mathematical Monographs 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence RI 1967.

[L1] P. Lindqvist, *On the time derivative in a quasilinear equation*, Transactions of The Royal Norwegian Society of Sciences and Letters, 2008 no. 2, pp. 1–7.

[L2] P. Lindqvist, *On the time derivative in an obstacle problem*, Revista Mathematica Iberoamericana **28**, 2012, pp. 577–590.

[WZY] Z. Wu, J. Zhao, J. Yin, H. Li, *Non-linear Diffusion Equations*, World Scientific, Singapore 2001.

[Y] C. Yazhe, *Hölder continuity of the gradient of certain degenerate parabolic equations*, Chinese Annals of Mathematics, Series B, **8** no. 3, 1987, pp. 343–356.